



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/658,109		09/09/2003	Joyce Rosenthal	899.069US1	9947	
21186	7590	11/25/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SCHWEG	MAN, LU	JNDBERG, WOES	ENSEY,	ENSEY, BRIAN		
1600 TCF	OWER					
121 SOUT	HEIGHT S	STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402				2646		

DATE MAILED: 11/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summer	10/658,109	ROSENTHAL, JOYCE					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Brian Ensey	2646					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONED	l.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Se	eptember 2005.						
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
<i>'</i> = <i>'</i> -	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application.	Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,21,22,31-38,42-44 and 47</u> is/are	Claim(s) <u>1-10,21,22,31-38,42-44 and 47</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-20,23-30,39-41,45 and 46</u> is/are ol	Claim(s) 11-20,23-30,39-41,45 and 46 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ul>							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior							
application from the International Bureau	·						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

1. Claims 1-9, 21, 22, 31, 32-36, 38, 42 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishige et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,852,668 in view of Hartl et al. U.S. Patent No. 4,739,512.

Regarding claim 1, Ishige discloses a hearing aid adapted to be worn in or about an ear (1), comprising: a microphone (2) to receive sound and to generate an input signal based on the sound; a signal processing circuit (4) housed by the housing and receiving the input signal from the microphone, the signal processing circuit to process the input signal and produce an output signal based on a plurality of signal processing parameters; a receiver (3) in the housing to transmit sound based on the output signal; a single parameter-select device to select a parameter of the plurality of signal processing parameters to be adjusted (A mode selection switch located on the manipulation board (8) to select one of a plurality of modes for compensating the hearing sense of the user. See col. 3, lines 25-31); and a parameter-adjust device to adjust the parameter selected by the parameter-select device (Another switch on the manipulation for changing the working conditions of the user's hearing sense in the mode selected by user operated mode selection switch See col. 3, lines 40-42). Ishige does not expressly disclose a hearing aid housing. However, the containment of hearing aid circuitry in a housing is well known in the art and Hartl teaches a common hearing aid housing (See fig. 1 and abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

Art Unit: 2646

invention to utilize the housing of Hartl in the device of Ishige to contain and protect the hearing aid circuitry and provide a compact device for insertion into the ear of the user.

Regarding claims 2 and 3, the combination of Ishige in view of Hartl fails to teach the housing is adapted to be worn behind an ear or adapted to be positioned in a concha of an ear. However, it is well know in the art to place a hearing aid behind the ear (BTE), in the ear (ITE), in the canal (ITC) and completely in the canal (CIC) of the user and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide multiple mounting configurations to meet the preferences of the users.

Regarding claim 4, the combination of Ishige in view of Hartl further discloses the housing is adapted to be positioned in the auditory canal of an ear (See Hartl Fig. 1 and col. 1, lines 54-56).

Regarding claims 5 and 6, the combination of Ishige in view of Hartl fails to teach the parameter-select device and parameter-adjust device comprises a potentiometer located about an external surface of the housing. However, the combination of Ishige in view of Hartl teaches two switches (7,8) located on the external surface of a hearing aid housing for parameter adjustments (See Fig. 3 Hartl and col. 4, lines 60 and 61). Further, it is well known in the art to use potentiometers for the parameter adjustment actuators. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the externally mounted adjustment actuators of Hartl to select and adjust the parameters of Ishige for easy access by the user.

Regarding claim 7, the combination of Ishige in view of Hartl does not expressly disclose the parameter-select device comprises a Resistance Technology Incorporated Trimmer Model 17 located about an external surface of the housing; and the parameter-adjust device comprises a Microtronic Volume Control Model DCU 93 located on an

Page 4

Art Unit: 2646

external surface of the housing. However, the combination of Ishige in view of Hartl does not limit the type of parameter select or adjust device used. Further, it is well known in the art to use potentiometers for the parameter adjustment actuators. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use any type of potentiometers or trimmers for control elements which are readily commercially available.

Regarding claim 8, the combination of Ishige in view of Hartl further discloses the signal processing circuit comprises a digital signal processing circuit (See Ishige col. 3, lines 1 and 2).

Regarding claim 9, the combination of Ishige in view of Hartl further discloses a program connection (data port) to receive instructions to be programmed into the signal processing circuit; a processor (4); a memory device (7) coupled to the processor; a first analog-to-digital converter (5) coupled between the microphone (2) and the processor (4) to convert the input signal from the microphone into a digital signal to be received by the processor; and a digital-to-analog converter (6) coupled between the processor and the receiver (3) to convert a digital signal from the processor to the output signal to be received by the receiver (See Ishige Fig. 1, col. 2, lines 42-50, col. 3, lines 43-62 and col. 5, lines 26-28). The combination of Ishige in view of Hartl fails to teach an interface coupled to the program connection, the processor, and the memory device to relay the instructions to the memory device and the processor. However, an interface between the programming connection and processing devices to successfully transfer data from a fitting system to the hearing device.

Regarding claim 21, Ishige discloses a method of operating a hearing aid adapted to be worn in or about an ear, the method comprising: receiving sound in a microphone

(2) in a hearing aid housing and generating an input signal based on the sound; processing the input signal into an output signal in a signal processing circuit (4) coupled to the microphone in the housing according to a plurality of parameters; generating sound from the output signal in a receiver (3) coupled to the signal processing circuit in the housing; selecting one of the parameters with control element (A mode selection switch located on the manipulation board (8) to select one of a plurality of modes for compensating the hearing sense of the user. See col. 3, lines 25-31); and adjusting the selected parameter with a control element device (Another switch on the manipulation for changing the working conditions of the user's hearing sense in the mode selected by user operated mode selection switch See col. 3, lines 40-42). Ishige does not expressly disclose a hearing aid housing. However, the containment of hearing aid circuitry in a housing is well known in the art and Hartl teaches a common hearing aid housing (See fig. 1 and abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the housing of Hartl in the device of Ishige to contain and protect the hearing aid circuitry and provide a compact device for insertion into the ear of the user. Further, Ishige fails to teach the parameter-select device and parameter-adjust device located about an external surface of the housing. However, Ishige teaches a manipulation board (8) for operation of parameter select and adjust (See Ishige fig. 1 and col. 3, lines 25-42) and Hartl teaches two switches (7,8) located on the external surface of a hearing aid housing for parameter adjustments (See Fig. 3 Hartl and col. 4, lines 60 and 61). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the externally mounted adjustment actuators of Hartl to select and adjust the parameters of Ishige for easy access by the user.

Art Unit: 2646

Regarding claim 22, the combination of Ishige in view of Hartl does not expressly disclose rotating a parameter-select potentiometer on an external surface of the housing to one of a plurality of positions. However, the combination of Ishige in view of Hartl teaches two switches (7,8) located on the external surface of a hearing aid housing for parameter adjustments (See Fig. 3 Hartl and col. 4, lines 60 and 61). Further, it is well known in the art to use potentiometers for the parameter adjustment actuators. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the externally mounted adjustment actuators of Hartl to select and adjust the parameters of Ishige for easy access by the user.

Regarding claim 31, the combination of Ishige in view of Hartl further discloses converting the input signal into a digital input signal in an analog-to-digital converter (5); processing the digital input signal into a digital output signal in a digital signal processing circuit (4) according to the parameters; and converting the digital output signal into the output signal in a digital-to-analog converter (6) (See Ishige Fig. 1, col. 2, lines 42-50).

Regarding claim 32, the combination of Ishige in view of Hartl discloses a hearing aid adapted to be worn in or about an ear, comprising: a microphone (2) to receive sound and to generate an input signal based on the sound; a receiver (3) in the housing to transmit sound from the hearing aid based on an output signal; a first memory device (70) in the housing to store first parameters; a second memory device (71) in the housing to store second parameters; a manual control device on the manipulation board (8) to select the first parameters to be changed; and a signal processing circuit (4) coupled between the microphone, the receiver, the first memory device, and the second memory device in the housing to process the input signal from the microphone and the output signal to be transmitted to the receiver according to the first parameters or the second

parameters (See Ishige fig. 1 and col. 3, lines 25-62). Ishige does not expressly disclose a hearing aid housing or two separate memory devices. However, the containment of hearing aid circuitry in a housing is well known in the art and Hartl teaches a common hearing aid housing (See fig. 1 and abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the housing of Hartl in the device of Ishige to contain and protect the hearing aid circuitry and provide a compact device for insertion into the ear of the user. Further, Ishige teaches one memory device (7) having a plurality of sub-units (70, 71....7n) respectively storing hearing aid parameters. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a single memory device with multiple subunits for cost and space savings. Additionally, Ishige teaches a switch located on the manipulating board (8) to select the desired parameter (See Ishige fig. 1 and col. 3, lines 25-42) and Hartl teaches two switches (7,8) located on the external surface of a hearing aid housing for parameter adjustments (See Fig. 3 Hartl and col. 4, lines 60 and 61). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the externally mounted adjustment actuator of Hartl to select the parameters of Ishige for easy access by the user.

Regarding claims 33 and 34, the combination of Ishige in view of Hartl fails to teach the housing is adapted to be worn behind an ear or adapted to be positioned in a concha of an ear. However, it is well know in the art to place a hearing aid behind the ear (BTE), in the ear (ITE), in the canal (ITC) and completely in the canal (CIC) of the user and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide multiple mounting configurations to meet the preferences of the users.

Art Unit: 2646

Regarding claim 35, the combination of Ishige in view of Hartl further discloses the housing is adapted to be positioned in the auditory canal of an ear (See Hartl Fig. 1 and col. 1, lines 54-56).

Regarding claim 36, the combination of Ishige in view of Hartl teaches one memory device (7) having a plurality of sub-units (70, 71....7n) respectively storing hearing aid parameters. The combination of Ishige in view of Hartl fails to teach the first memory device comprises a first EEPROM and the second memory device comprises a second EEPROM. However, the use of EEPROM's as memory devices is well known in the art and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that multiple EEPROMs be used for an easily reprogrammable memory configuration.

Regarding claim 38, the combination of Ishige in view of Hartl further discloses the signal processing circuit comprises a digital signal processing circuit (See Ishige col. 3, lines 1 and 2).

Regarding claim 42, the combination of Ishige in view of Hartl discloses a method of operating a hearing aid (1) adapted to be worn in or about the ear, the method comprising: receiving sound in a microphone (2) in a hearing aid housing and generating an input signal based on the sound; selecting one of a first memory device (70) in the housing in which first parameters are stored and a second memory device (71) in the housing in which second parameters are stored with a memory select device on an external surface of the housing; processing the input signal into an output signal in a signal processing circuit (4) coupled to the microphone, the first memory device, and the second memory device in the housing according to the first parameters or the second parameters selected by the memory select device; and generating sound in a receiver (3)

Art Unit: 2646

coupled to the signal processing circuit in the housing from the output signal (See Ishige fig. 1 and col. 3, lines 25-62). Ishige does not expressly disclose a hearing aid housing or two separate memory devices. However, the containment of hearing aid circuitry in a housing is well known in the art and Hartl teaches a common hearing aid housing (See fig. 1 and abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the housing of Hartl in the device of Ishige to contain and protect the hearing aid circuitry and provide a compact device for insertion into the ear of the user. Further, Ishige teaches one memory device (7) having a plurality of sub-units (70, 71....7n) respectively storing hearing aid parameters. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a single memory device with multiple subunits for cost and space savings. Additionally, Ishige teaches a switch located on the manipulating board (8) to select the desired parameter (See Ishige fig. 1 and col. 3, lines 25-42) and Hartl teaches two switches (7,8) located on the external surface of a hearing aid housing for parameter adjustments (See Fig. 3 Hartl and col. 4, lines 60 and 61). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the externally mounted adjustment actuator of Hartl to select the parameters of Ishige for easy access by the user.

Regarding claim 47, the combination of Ishige in view of Hartl further discloses converting the input signal into a digital input signal in an analog-to-digital converter (5); processing the digital input signal into a digital output signal in a digital signal processing circuit (4) according to the parameters; and converting the digital output signal into the output signal in a digital-to-analog converter (6) (See Ishige Fig. 1, col. 2, lines 42-50).

Art Unit: 2646

2. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Ishige in view of Hartl as applied to claims 1 and 21 above, and further in view of Martin U.S. Patent No. 6,130,950.

Regarding claim 10, the combination of Ishige in view of Hartl discloses a hearing aid as claimed. The combination of Ishige in view of Hartl does not expressly disclose the signal processing circuit further comprises a second analog-to-digital converter coupled between the parameter-select device and the processor to convert an analog signal from the parameter-select device into a digital signal to be received by the processor to select one of the parameters. However, Martin teaches A/D converters between adjusting elements and the digital control (See Fig. 1). Further, since the combination of Ishige in view of Hartl teaches a DSP, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide A/D conversion of all analog input to the signal processor.

3. Claims 37, 43 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Ishige in view of Hartl as applied to claims 32 and 42 above, and further in view of Armstrong et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,937,738.

Regarding claims 37 and 43, the combination of Ishige in view of Hartl fails to teach selecting comprises pushing a pushbutton toggle switch located on an external surface of the housing to generate a pulse. However, Armstrong teaches pushing a pushbutton (16) toggle switch located on an external surface of the housing to generate a pulse to select between a series of configuration setups stored in the memory (See Fig. 1B and col. 2, lines 35-46). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize a pushbutton switch to select the parameters of the combination of Ishige in view of Hartl for easier operation by the user.

Regarding claim 44, the combination of Ishige in view of Hartl fails to teach selecting one of a first EEPROM in the housing in which the first parameters are stored and a second EEPROM in the housing in which the second parameters are stored with the memory select device. However, the combination of Ishige in view of Hartl teaches one memory device (7) having a plurality of sub-units (70, 71....7n) respectively storing hearing aid parameters. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a single memory device with multiple subunits for cost and space savings.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11-20, 23-30, 39-41, 45 and 46 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-47 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Ensey whose telephone number is 571-272-7496.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 6:30 AM - 3:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,109 Page 12

Art Unit: 2646

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on 571-272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, for formal communications intended for entry and for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT".

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to: Customer Service Window, Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Arlington, VA 22314

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SINH TRAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

BKE November 22, 2005